PERFORMANCE TASK ASSESSMENT LIST Newspaper Article

		Assessment Points			
		Points		Earned	
	Element	Possible		ssment	
	Element		Self	Teacher	
1.	The general facts are correct.				
2.	The science facts are correct.				
3.	Quotes are accurate.				
4.	Quotes are used, but the whole story is not built on quotes.				
5.	There is a flow to the writing. It does not read like the minutes of a meeting.				
6.	The focus for the article is clearly evident in the first paragraph.				
7.	The readers report having their interest immediately captured.				
8.	The writing is concise. There is as much news as possible in the least amount of space.				
9.	There are enough supporting details for the story.				
10.	The article is written to communicate with the appropri- ate audience.				
11.	Humor is used in good taste.				
12.	The writer has been truthful.				
13.	The use of language is flawless.				
14.	The headline is both appropriate to the story and a "grabber."				
15.	Photographs clearly show what is intended.				
16.	The photographs are interesting and add information.				
17.	The caption for the picture is accurate and follows the correct format of left to right and top to bottom.				
	Tota	1			

RUBRIC Newspaper Article

	Rating
The student's article is of exceptional quality. It conveys the story in a smooth and engaging style. The reader's interest is held throughout. Especially appropriate quotes and details are woven into the article. Photographs are highly informative and add much to the story; they show active rather than passive poses. The headline is memorable.	
The student's article is interesting and concise. The theme is immediately apparent, and the article flows smoothly as it is developed. Appropriate details support the theme. It is clear that the student knows the background science concepts. Pho- tographs are clear and interesting. The headline is appropriate to the story and grabs the reader's attention.	
The student's article reads like the minutes of a meeting. It is not interesting. The theme is unclear and the article is not well organized. Details are missing or not appropriate. Science concepts are not used correctly. Photographs are not interesting and are of poor quality. The headline is not a grabber.	
The work is very poorly done or has not been completed.	

Comments: